### **Strategic Action Plan 2019-2029**

### **Focus Area 4: Information Technology**

**Mission Statement:** The University of Holy Cross, a Catholic institution of higher learning, is an inclusive student-centered learning community focused on academic excellence and innovative teaching. Rooted in the traditions of the Marianites of Holy Cross, the University is committed to educating the minds and hearts of its students through freedom of inquiry, the pursuit of truth, and compassionate care for all.

**Committee Members:** Chester, Nalani (Graduate Representative), Chester, Rosalind (IT Director and Chair), Fonseca, Dr. Thomas (Faculty Representative), Heine, Adam (Staff Representative), Rodrigue, Elizabeth (Student Representative), Tabony, Dennise (Trustee)

Resource Utilization					
Goal 1: Strengthen the u	Goal 1: Strengthen the use of educational technology resources that support instructional delivery.				
Objective 1: To enhance	the capacity of faculty to effectively use educational technology resources by 10% each year				
ACTION STEP 1 (priority H=High, M=Moderate, L=Low)	H Provide training and support to instructors (pre/post assessment for instructor technology skill level).  M				
TIME FRAME (anticipated start/ estimated completion)	Fall 2019 and ongoing				
RATIONALE (relationship to enrollment, quality programs)	This action step promotes the Institution's ability to educate the hearts and minds of its students. It also promotes the ability to ensure that academic and administrative departments are able to fulfill their goals and objectives. A technical infrastructure that aligns with the educational goals and objectives promotes success. Departmental collaboration ensures successful use of LMS to advance teaching and learning. Departmental collaboration also ensures successful use of classroom technology to advance teaching and learning. It actively supports innovative, new programs, strengthens established programs, and increases the number of online and hybrid course offerings.				
ASSESSMENTS & BENCHMARKS (include time frame)	Annual review of device inventories, minimum system configuration checklist, replacement schedules and invoices; annual course observations, surveys, and course evaluations				
DOCUMENTATION (deliverables; proof that benchmark achieved)	Annual review of device inventories, minimum system configuration checklist, replacement schedules and invoices; annual cour				
PERSON(S) RESPONSIBLE FOR IMPLEMENTATION	Director of Information Technology				
ESTIMATED COSTS	\$130,000				
STATUS	Began Fall 2018 In Progress				

ACTION STEP 2 (priority H=High,	<b>~</b>	Н	Update all classrooms with new projector systems and sound system.
M=Moderate, L=Low)		М	
		L	
TIME FRAME (anticipated start/ estimated completion)	Fall 20	17 to	Fall 2023
RATIONALE (relationship to enrollment, quality programs)	This action step promotes the Institution's ability to educate the hearts and minds of its students. It also promotes the ability to ensure that academic and administrative departments are able to fulfill their goals and objectives. A technical infrastructure that aligns with the educational goals and objectives promotes success. Departmental collaboration ensures successful use of LMS to advance teaching and learning. Departmental collaboration also ensures successful use of classroom technology to advance teaching and learning. It actively supports innovative, new programs, strengthens established programs, and increases the number of online and hybrid course offerings.		
ASSESSMENTS & BENCHMARKS (include time frame)	Annual review of device inventories, minimum system configuration checklist, replacement schedules and invoices; annual course observations, surveys, and course evaluations		
DOCUMENTATION (deliverables; proof that benchmark achieved)	Annual review of device inventories, minimum system configuration checklist, replacement schedules and invoices; annual course observations, surveys, and course evaluations		
PERSON(S) RESPONSIBLE FOR IMPLEMENTATION	Director of Information Technology		
ESTIMATED COSTS	\$130,0	000	
STATUS	Began Fall 2018 In progress		

ACTION STEP 3 (priority H=High,	~	Н	Update all classrooms with interactive projectors where possible.	
M=Moderate, L=Low)		М		
		L		
TIME FRAME (anticipated start/ estimated completion)	Fall 20	Fall 2017 - Fall 2023		
RATIONALE (relationship to enrollment, quality programs)	This action step promotes the Institution's ability to educate the hearts and minds of its students. It also promotes the ability to ensure that academic and administrative departments are able to fulfill their goals and objectives. A technical infrastructure that aligns with the educational goals and objectives promotes success. Departmental collaboration ensures successful use of LMS to advance teaching and learning. Departmental collaboration also ensures successful use of classroom technology to advance teaching and learning. It actively supports innovative, new programs, strengthens established programs, and increases the number of online and hybrid course offerings.			
ASSESSMENTS & BENCHMARKS (include time frame)	Annual review of device inventories, minimum system configuration checklist, replacement schedules and invoices; annual course observations, surveys, and course evaluations			
DOCUMENTATION (deliverables; proof that benchmark achieved)	Inventory reports, system configuration and image setups, completed replacement schedules, purchase orders and receipts, annual student and faculty surveys, completed online course observation evaluations, completed student evaluation surveys			
PERSON(S) RESPONSIBLE FOR IMPLEMENTATION	Director of Information Technology			
ESTIMATED COSTS	\$130,0	000		
STATUS	Began Fall 2018 In progress			

ACTION STEP 4 (priority H=High,	<b>✓</b>	Н	Hire training manager (\$55,000 + 30% benefits = \$71,500).		
M=Moderate, L=Low)		М			
		L			
TIME FRAME (anticipated start/ estimated completion)	To beg	To begin Fall 2019			
RATIONALE (relationship to enrollment, quality programs)	This action step promotes the Institution's ability to educate the hearts and minds of its students. It also promotes the ability to ensure that academic and administrative departments are able to fulfill their goals and objectives. A technical infrastructure that aligns with the educational goals and objectives promotes success. Departmental collaboration ensures successful use of LMS to advance teaching and learning. Departmental collaboration also ensures successful use of classroom technology to advance teaching and learning. It actively supports innovative, new programs, strengthens established programs, and increases the number of online and hybrid course offerings.				
ASSESSMENTS & BENCHMARKS (include time frame)	Annual review of device inventories, minimum system configuration checklist, replacement schedules and invoices; annual course observations, surveys, and course evaluations				
DOCUMENTATION (deliverables; proof that benchmark achieved)	Inventory reports, system configuration and image setups, completed replacement schedules, purchase orders and receipts, annual student and faculty surveys, completed online course observation evaluations, completed student evaluation surveys				
PERSON(S) RESPONSIBLE FOR IMPLEMENTATION	Directo	or of I	nformation Technology		
ESTIMATED COSTS	\$130,0	000			
STATUS	Began	Fall 2	018 In progress		

<b>Objective 2: To increase</b>	profess	sional	development services to faculty and staff by 5% annually	
ACTION STEP 1 (priority H=High, M=Moderate, L=Low)	<b>~</b>	Н	Provide professional development to faculty and staff on learning management system and educational technology resources.	
		М		
		L		
TIME FRAME (anticipated start/ estimated completion)	Fall 20	)19 an	d ongoing.	
RATIONALE (relationship to enrollment, quality programs)	This action step promotes the Institution's ability to educate the hearts and minds of its students. It also promotes the ability to ensure that academic and administrative departments are able to fulfill their goals and objectives. A technical infrastructure that aligns with the educational goals and objectives promotes success. Departmental collaboration ensures successful use of LMS to advance teaching and learning. Departmental collaboration also ensures successful use of classroom technology to advance teaching and learning. It actively supports innovative, new programs, strengthens established programs, and increases the number of online and hybrid course offerings.			
ASSESSMENTS & BENCHMARKS (include time frame)		5% increase in the number of faculty that use the learning management system; 5% decrease in help desk tickets related to the learning management system or the ERP		
DOCUMENTATION (deliverables; proof that benchmark achieved)	Collect data from helpdesk reports to determine if faculty and students have difficulty using the equipment. Data collection would occur before, during, and after the implementation of the strategy and include a review of training course development, with supporting documentation.			
PERSON(S) RESPONSIBLE FOR IMPLEMENTATION	Direct	Director of Information Technology & LMS Administrator		
ESTIMATED COSTS	\$120,000			
STATUS	Awaiting implementation			

ACTION STEP 2 (priority H=High,	<b>~</b>	Н	Provide professional development to administrative staff on ERP and administrative software.		
M=Moderate, L=Low)		М			
		L			
TIME FRAME (anticipated start/ estimated completion)	Fall 20	Fall 2019 and ongoing			
RATIONALE (relationship to enrollment, quality programs)	This action step provides support for end-user services to effectively and efficiently use the technical resources available to improve student efficiency and retention and the software resources needed to enhance learning and improve business practices. The development and maintenance of data governance for all data imputed into the student information system supports teaching and learning.				
ASSESSMENTS & BENCHMARKS (include time frame)	5% increase in the number of faculty that use the learning management system and a 5% decrease in help desk tickets related to the learning management system or the ERP				
DOCUMENTATION (deliverables; proof that benchmark achieved)	Collect data from helpdesk reports to determine if faculty and students have difficulty using the equipment. Data collection would occur before, during, and after the implementation of the strategy and include a review of training course development with supporting documentation.				
PERSON(S) RESPONSIBLE FOR IMPLEMENTATION	Director of Information Technology & LMS Administrator				
ESTIMATED COSTS	\$120,0	000			
STATUS	Awaiting implementation				

ACTION STEP 3 (priority H=High,	<b>~</b>	Н	Hire a training manager and administrative assistant for Information Technology.	
M=Moderate, L=Low)		М		
		L		
TIME FRAME (anticipated start/ estimated completion)	Fall 20	Fall 2019 and ongoing		
RATIONALE (relationship to enrollment, quality programs)	This action step provides support for end-user services to effectively and efficiently use the technical resources available to improve student efficiency and retention and the software resources needed to enhance learning and improve business practices. The development and maintenance of data governance for all data imputed into the student information system supports teaching and learning.			
ASSESSMENTS & BENCHMARKS (include time frame)	5% increase in the number of faculty that use the learning management system and a 5% decrease in help desk tickets related to the learning management system or the ERP			
DOCUMENTATION (deliverables; proof that benchmark achieved)	collect	ion w	from helpdesk reports to determine if faculty and students have difficulty using the equipment. Data ould occur before, during, and after the implementation of the strategy and include a review of training course it with supporting documentation.	
PERSON(S) RESPONSIBLE FOR IMPLEMENTATION	Directo	or of I	nformation Technology & LMS Administrator	
ESTIMATED COSTS	\$120,0	000		
STATUS	Awaiting implementation			

ACTION STEP 4 (priority H=High,	<b>~</b>	Н	Collaborate with academic departments to provide adequate training and resources to faculty.	
M=Moderate, L=Low)		М		
		L		
TIME FRAME (anticipated start/ estimated completion)	Fall 20	Fall 2019 and ongoing		
RATIONALE (relationship to enrollment, quality programs)	This action step provides support for end-user services to effectively and efficiently use the technical resources available to improve student efficiency and retention and the software resources needed to enhance learning and improve business practices. The development and maintenance of data governance for all data imputed into the student information system supports teaching and learning.			
ASSESSMENTS & BENCHMARKS (include time frame)	5% increase in the number of faculty that use the learning management system and a 5% decrease in help desk tickets related to the learning management system or the ERP			
DOCUMENTATION (deliverables; proof that benchmark achieved)	Collect data from helpdesk reports to determine if faculty and students have difficulty using the equipment. Data collection would occur before, during, and after the implementation of the strategy and include a review of training course development with supporting documentation.			
PERSON(S) RESPONSIBLE FOR IMPLEMENTATION	Director of Information Technology & LMS Administrator			
ESTIMATED COSTS	\$120,0	000		
STATUS	Awaiting implementation			

Enrollment Support					
Goal 2: Utilize technological resources to manage new and returning student applicants.					
Objective: To decrease the amount of time by 5% that Enrollment Management needs to process new and returning student applicants					
ACTION STEP 1	H Assess the current admissions process to identify areas of improvement.				
(priority H=High, M=Moderate, L=Low)	□ M				
TIME FRAME (anticipated start/ estimated completion)	Summer 2019 and ongoing				
RATIONALE (relationship to enrollment, quality programs)	Increase enrollment of qualified students; assessing where IT can assist the admissions process is critical for increasing enrollment numbers.				
ASSESSMENTS & BENCHMARKS (include time frame)	2019 and ongoing; Enrollment Management will report that the time on task to complete an application decrease by 5%.				
DOCUMENTATION (deliverables; proof that benchmark achieved)	Acceptance report with the time needed from intake to completion				
PERSON(S) RESPONSIBLE FOR IMPLEMENTATION	Director of Technology & VP of Enrollment Management				
ESTIMATED COSTS	\$50,000				
STATUS	Awaiting implementation				

ACTION STEP 2 (priority H=High,	<b>~</b>	Н	Hire an Application Program Specialist to support Enrollment management applications and services.	
M=Moderate, L=Low)		М		
		L		
TIME FRAME (anticipated start/ estimated completion)	Summ	Summer 2019 and ongoing		
RATIONALE (relationship to enrollment, quality programs)	Increase enrollment of qualified students; assessing where IT can assist the admissions process is critical for increasing enrollment numbers.			
ASSESSMENTS & BENCHMARKS (include time frame)	2019 and ongoing; Enrollment Management will report that the time on task to complete an application decrease by 5%.			
DOCUMENTATION (deliverables; proof that benchmark achieved)	Acceptance report with the time needed from intake to completion			
PERSON(S) RESPONSIBLE FOR IMPLEMENTATION	Director of Technology			
ESTIMATED COSTS	\$150,0	000		
STATUS	Awaiting implementation			

ACTION STEP 3 (priority H=High,	<b>✓</b>	Н	Purchase additional software to support application processing	
M=Moderate, L=Low)		M		
		L		
TIME FRAME (anticipated start/ estimated completion)	Summ	Summer 2019 and ongoing		
RATIONALE (relationship to enrollment, quality programs)	Increase enrollment of qualified students; assessing where IT can assist the admissions process is critical for increasing enrollment numbers.			
ASSESSMENTS & BENCHMARKS (include time frame)	2019 and ongoing; Enrollment Management will report that the time on task to complete an application decrease by 5%.			
DOCUMENTATION (deliverables; proof that benchmark achieved)	Acceptance report with the time needed from intake to completion			
PERSON(S) RESPONSIBLE FOR IMPLEMENTATION	Director of Technology & VP of Enrollment Services			
ESTIMATED COSTS	\$50,00	0		
STATUS	Awaiting implementation			

Technological Resources							
Goal 3: Utilize technolog	Goal 3: Utilize technological resources to support fiscal operations.						
Objective: To optimize t	Objective: To optimize the use of technological resources by 20% each year						
ACTION STEP 1 (priority H=High, M=Moderate, L=Low)	H Assess the current business processes in finance to identify areas of improvement.						
TIME FRAME (anticipated start/ estimated completion)	Fall 2019 and going						
RATIONALE (relationship to enrollment, quality programs)	This action step promotes effective management and allocation of the funding necessary to support enrollment and address the Institution's fiscal requirements. It also promotes the ability to ensure Finance is able to fulfill their goals and objectives in support of enrollment.						
ASSESSMENTS & BENCHMARKS (include time frame)	Provide a technical infrastructure that ensures connectivity with a 95% uptime, purchase needed or updated software systems, and ensure that 100% of fiscal projects clearly identify technical requirements and implications.						
DOCUMENTATION (deliverables; proof that benchmark achieved)	Meeting notes, training schedules, annual surveys, a communication plan, purchase orders, assessment results						
PERSON(S) RESPONSIBLE FOR IMPLEMENTATION	Director of Technology & VP of Finance						
ESTIMATED COSTS	\$50,000						
STATUS	Awaiting implementation						

ACTION STEP 2 (priority H=High, M=Moderate, L=Low)	<b>✓</b>	Н	Purchase additional software to support financial applications.	
		М		
		L		
TIME FRAME (anticipated start/ estimated completion)	Fall 2019 and ongoing			
RATIONALE (relationship to enrollment, quality programs)	This action step promotes effective management and allocation of the funding necessary to support enrollment and address the Institution's fiscal requirements. It also promotes the ability to ensure Finance is able to fulfill their goals and objectives in support of enrollment.			
ASSESSMENTS & BENCHMARKS (include time frame)	Provide a technical infrastructure that ensures connectivity with a 95% uptime, purchase needed or updated software systems, and ensure that 100% of fiscal projects clearly identify technical requirements and implications.			
DOCUMENTATION (deliverables; proof that benchmark achieved)	Meeting notes, training schedules, annual surveys, a communication plan, purchase orders, assessment results			
PERSON(S) RESPONSIBLE FOR IMPLEMENTATION	Director of Technology & VP of Finance			
ESTIMATED COSTS	\$50,000			
STATUS	Awaiting implementation			

Data-Driven Decision Making						
Goal 4: Facilitate data-driven decision making through the use of technological tools by administrative units.						
Objective: To continuously improve autonomous access by Academics, Enrollment Management, Finance, Institutional Advancement, Student Affairs, and Mission Integration to existing databases						
ACTION STEP 1 (priority H=High, M=Moderate, L=Low)	H Conduct needs based assessment and set baseline.  M L					
TIME FRAME (anticipated start/ estimated completion)	Fall 2019 and ongoing					
RATIONALE (relationship to enrollment, quality programs)	This action step supports the use of data-driven decisions in order to ensure that decisions are made based on the needs of the University and in support of quality programs.					
ASSESSMENTS & BENCHMARKS (include time frame)	In Spring 2020, implement a 20% increase in the number of departments modifying and running reports.					
DOCUMENTATION (deliverables; proof that benchmark achieved)	Reports developed and maintained by each department					
PERSON(S) RESPONSIBLE FOR IMPLEMENTATION	Director of Information Technology & Department Heads					
ESTIMATED COSTS	\$10,000					
STATUS	Awaiting implementation					

ACTION STEP 2 (priority H=High,	<b>~</b>	Н	Develop plan of action based on needs assessment.	
M=Moderate, L=Low)		М		
		L		
TIME FRAME (anticipated start/ estimated completion)	Fall 2019 and ongoing			
RATIONALE (relationship to enrollment, quality programs)	This action step supports the use of data-driven decisions in order to ensure that decisions are made based on the needs of the University and in support of quality programs.			
ASSESSMENTS & BENCHMARKS (include time frame)	In Spring 2020, implement a 20% increase in the number of departments modifying and running reports.			
DOCUMENTATION (deliverables; proof that benchmark achieved)	Reports developed and maintained by each department			
PERSON(S) RESPONSIBLE FOR IMPLEMENTATION	Director of Information Technology & Department Heads			
ESTIMATED COSTS	\$10,000			
STATUS	Awaiting implementation			

ACTION STEP 3 (priority H=High,	<b>~</b>	Н	Implement and assess action plan.	
M=Moderate, L=Low)		М		
		L		
TIME FRAME (anticipated start/ estimated completion)	Fall 2019 and ongoing			
RATIONALE (relationship to enrollment, quality programs)	This action step supports the use of data-driven decisions in order to ensure that decisions are made based on the needs of the University and in support of quality programs.			
ASSESSMENTS & BENCHMARKS (include time frame)	In Spring 2020, implement a 20% increase in the number of departments modifying and running reports.			
DOCUMENTATION (deliverables; proof that benchmark achieved)	Reports developed and maintained by each department			
PERSON(S) RESPONSIBLE FOR IMPLEMENTATION	Director of Information Technology & Department Heads			
ESTIMATED COSTS	\$10,000			
STATUS	Awaiting implementation.			

	Replace ERP					
Goal 5: Replace current Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) software with new system.						
Objective: To take incre	mental steps toward full implementation of a new ERP					
ACTION STEP 1 (priority H=High, M=Moderate, L=Low)	H Purchase a new ERP system that supports all academic and administrative functions of the University.  M L					
TIME FRAME (anticipated start/ estimated completion)	Summer 2020 and ongoing					
RATIONALE (relationship to enrollment, quality programs)	This action step supports the purchase an integrated ERP system that decreases manual processes and allows for interdepartmental collaboration. It will help maintain the integrity of data governance in support of enrollment and seeks to provide 100% anytime access to resources to aid in goal achievement by academic and administrative programs. The maintenance of upgraded equipment and the monitoring of network activity provides the confidence that it will work.					
ASSESSMENTS & BENCHMARKS (include time frame)	A new ERP system purchased with a planned implementation, annual review of 100% of current contracts, annual review of new software contracts					
DOCUMENTATION (deliverables; proof that benchmark achieved)	ERP assessment report to aid in choosing an appropriate solution, renewal and/or upgrade of contracts, data from helpdesk reports, and network monitoring to determine if uptime meets or exceeds the established 95% uptime required (Data collection would occur before, during, and after the implementation of the action step.)					
PERSON(S) RESPONSIBLE FOR IMPLEMENTATION	Director of Information Technology					
ESTIMATED COSTS	\$2,000,000					
STATUS	ERP evaluation started Fall 2016 - not funded; cloud migration started Summer 2017; contracts started - ongoing					

ACTION STEP 2 (priority H=High,		Н	Migrate current business services to the cloud.	
M=Moderate, L=Low)	<b>~</b>	M		
		L		
TIME FRAME (anticipated start/ estimated completion)	Summer 2020 and ongoing			
RATIONALE (relationship to enrollment, quality programs)	This action step supports the purchase an integrated ERP system that decreases manual processes and allows for interdepartmental collaboration. It will help maintain the integrity of data governance in support of enrollment and seeks to provide 100% anytime access to resources to aid in goal achievement by academic and administrative programs. The maintenance of upgraded equipment and the monitoring of network activity provides the confidence that it will work.			
ASSESSMENTS & BENCHMARKS (include time frame)	A new ERP system purchased with a planned implementation, annual review of 100% of current contracts, annual review of new software contracts			
DOCUMENTATION (deliverables; proof that benchmark achieved)	ERP assessment report to aid in choosing an appropriate solution, renewal and/or upgrade of contracts, data from helpdesk reports, and network monitoring to determine if uptime meets or exceeds the established 95% uptime required (Data collection would occur before, during, and after the implementation of the action step.)			
PERSON(S) RESPONSIBLE FOR IMPLEMENTATION	Director of Information Technology			
ESTIMATED COSTS	\$2,000	,000		
STATUS	ERP evaluation started Fall 2016 - not funded; cloud migration started Summer 2017; contracts started - ongoing			

ACTION STEP 3 (priority H=High,		Н	Maintain and upgrade existing software contracts	
M=Moderate, L=Low)	<b>~</b>	M		
		L		
TIME FRAME (anticipated start/ estimated completion)	Summer 2020 and ongoing			
RATIONALE (relationship to enrollment, quality programs)	This action step supports the purchase an integrated ERP system that decreases manual processes and allows for interdepartmental collaboration. It will help maintain the integrity of data governance in support of enrollment and seeks to provide 100% anytime access to resources to aid in goal achievement by academic and administrative programs. The maintenance of upgraded equipment and the monitoring of network activity provides the confidence that it will work.			
ASSESSMENTS & BENCHMARKS (include time frame)	A new ERP system purchased with a planned implementation, annual review of 100% of current contracts, annual review of new software contracts			
DOCUMENTATION (deliverables; proof that benchmark achieved)	ERP assessment report to aid in choosing an appropriate solution, renewal and/or upgrade of contracts, data from helpdesk reports, and network monitoring to determine if uptime meets or exceeds the established 95% uptime required (Data collection would occur before, during, and after the implementation of the action step.)			
PERSON(S) RESPONSIBLE FOR IMPLEMENTATION	Director of Information Technology			
ESTIMATED COSTS	\$2,000	,000		
STATUS	ERP evaluation started Fall 2016 - not funded; cloud migration started Summer 2017; contracts started - ongoing			

			Hardware		
Goal 6: Improve the efficiency of system hardware performance and reliability.					
Objective: To upgrade 25	Objective: To upgrade 25% of network and system hardware				
ACTION STEP 1 (priority H=High,	<b>~</b>	Н	Update the racks and backup power in the data center		
M=Moderate, L=Low)		M			
		L			
TIME FRAME (anticipated start/ estimated completion)	Spring 2018 and ongoing				
RATIONALE (relationship to enrollment, quality programs)	Stakeholders need a stable and consistent network to access the Internet and network resource to complete day-to-day operations. Network services are operational on demand of teachers, students, and staff. Therefore, adequate personnel is needed to meet the specialized needs of hardware and software divisions of IT. A technical infrastructure that aligns with the institutional goals and objectives promotes academic success and supports enrollment, as does consideration for backup power and cloud redundancy supports assets. Updating the racks in the data center also allows for room for growth. The data center has space available for the expansion of the network infrastructure.				
ASSESSMENTS & BENCHMARKS (include time frame)	ERP assessment report to aid in choosing an appropriate solution, renewal and/or upgrade of contracts, data from helpdesk reports, and network monitoring to determine if uptime meets or exceeds the established 95% uptime required, 100% replacement of computers every five years (Data collection would occur before, during, and after the implementation of the action step.)				
DOCUMENTATION (deliverables; proof that benchmark achieved)	Network Reports indicating uptime, review of device inventories, minimum system configuration checklist, replacement schedules, invoices				
PERSON(S) RESPONSIBLE FOR IMPLEMENTATION	Directo	Director of Information Technology			
ESTIMATED COSTS	Hire of full-time Network Administrator at \$70,000 + 30% benefits = \$91,000 annually; hire of new full-time help desk staff member at \$40,000 + 30% benefits = \$52,000 annually; \$750,00 overall for new hire; upgrade cost TBA				

ACTION STEP 2 (priority H=High,	<b>~</b>	Н	Update all servers every five years	
M=Moderate, L=Low)		М		
		L		
TIME FRAME (anticipated start/ estimated completion)	Fall 2020 and ongoing			
RATIONALE (relationship to enrollment, quality programs)	Stakeholders need a stable and consistent network to access the Internet and network resource to complete day-to-day operations. Network services are operational on demand of teachers, students, and staff. Therefore, adequate personnel is needed to meet the specialized needs of hardware and software divisions of IT. A technical infrastructure that aligns with the institutional goals and objectives promotes academic success and supports enrollment, as does consideration for backup power and cloud redundancy supports assets. Updating the racks in the data center also allows for room for growth. The data center has space available for the expansion of the network infrastructure.			
ASSESSMENTS & BENCHMARKS (include time frame)	Collect data from helpdesk reports and network monitoring to determine if uptime meets or exceeds the established 95% uptime required. Data collection would occur before, during, and after the implementation of the strategy. 100% of the computers are refreshed every five years.			
DOCUMENTATION (deliverables; proof that benchmark achieved)	Network reports indicating uptime, review of device inventories, minimum system configuration checklist, replacement schedules, and invoices			
PERSON(S) RESPONSIBLE FOR IMPLEMENTATION	Director of Information Technology			
ESTIMATED COSTS	Hire of full-time Network Administrator at \$70,000 + 30% benefits = \$91,000 annually; hire of new full-time help desk staff member at \$40,000 + 30% benefits = \$52,000 annually; \$750,00 overall for new hire; upgrade cost TBA			
STATUS	Started Fall 2017			

ACTION STEP 3 (priority H=High,	<b>✓</b>	Н	Update storage and backup devices every three to five years.		
M=Moderate, L=Low)		M			
		L			
TIME FRAME (anticipated start/ estimated completion)	Spring 2019 and ongoing				
RATIONALE (relationship to enrollment, quality programs)	Stakeholders need a stable and consistent network to access the Internet and network resource to complete day-to-day operations. Network services are operational on demand of teachers, students, and staff. Therefore, adequate personnel is needed to meet the specialized needs of hardware and software divisions of IT. A technical infrastructure that aligns with the institutional goals and objectives promotes academic success and supports enrollment, as does consideration for backup power and cloud redundancy supports assets. Updating the racks in the data center also allows for room for growth. The data center has space available for the expansion of the network infrastructure.				
ASSESSMENTS & BENCHMARKS (include time frame)	Collect data from helpdesk reports and network monitoring to determine if uptime meets or exceeds the established 95% uptime required. Data collection would occur before, during, and after the implementation of the strategy. 100% of the computers are refreshed every five years.				
DOCUMENTATION (deliverables; proof that benchmark achieved)	Network reports indicating uptime, review of device inventories, minimum system configuration checklist, replacement schedules, and invoices				
PERSON(S) RESPONSIBLE FOR IMPLEMENTATION	Director of Information Technology				
ESTIMATED COSTS	Hire of full-time Network Administrator at \$70,000 + 30% benefits = \$91,000 annually; hire of new full-time help desk staff member at \$40,000 + 30% benefits = \$52,000 annually; \$750,00 overall for new hire; upgrade cost TBA				
STATUS	Started Fall 2017				

ACTION STEP 4 (priority H=High,	<b>~</b>	Н	Upgrade Counseling Center video recording system.
M=Moderate, L=Low)		М	
		L	
TIME FRAME (anticipated start/ estimated completion)	Anticipated start in Fall 2019; estimated completion AY 20/21		
RATIONALE (relationship to enrollment, quality programs)	The video recording system in the Thomas S. Chambers Counseling and Training Center must be upgraded. This is needed in order to support the community services provided by the Counseling Center as a training facility for students who are pursuing degrees in counseling. The current system is very old and, for example, does not provide adequate training audios in some locations.		
ASSESSMENTS & BENCHMARKS (include time frame)	Review of vendor proposals, invoices, and timely completion		
DOCUMENTATION (deliverables; proof that benchmark achieved)	Vendor proposals, invoices, observed completion, user satisfaction reports		
PERSON(S) RESPONSIBLE FOR IMPLEMENTATION	Director of Information Technology		
ESTIMATED COSTS	ТВА		
STATUS	In process		

ACTION STEP 5 (priority H=High, M=Moderate, L=Low)	H Upd	late all classroom and employee computers every five years according to replacement schedules.		
	М			
	□ L			
TIME FRAME (anticipated start/ estimated completion)	Fall 2017 - Fall 2019			
RATIONALE (relationship to enrollment, quality programs)	Stakeholders need up to date computer hardware to access the Internet and network resource to complete day-to-day operations. Adequate personnel is needed to meet the specialized needs of hardware and software divisions of IT. A technical infrastructure that aligns with the institutional goals and objectives promotes academic success and supports enrollment and quality programs.			
ASSESSMENTS & BENCHMARKS (include time frame)	Continuous review of replacement schedules and timeliness of implementation			
DOCUMENTATION (deliverables; proof that benchmark achieved)	Review of device inventories, replacement schedules, and invoices			
PERSON(S) RESPONSIBLE FOR IMPLEMENTATION	Director of Information Technology			
ESTIMATED COSTS	Currently budgeted hardware replacement funds; hire of full-time Network Administrator at \$70,000 + 30% benefits = \$91,000 annually; hire of new full-time help desk staff member at \$40,000 + 30% benefits = \$52,000 annually; \$750,00 overall for new hires			
STATUS	Started Fall 2017			

ACTION STEP 6 (priority H=High, M=Moderate, L=Low)	<b>~</b>	Н	Update all network switches every ten years, wireless every four years, firewall every five years.		
		M			
		L			
TIME FRAME (anticipated start/ estimated completion)	Anticipated start in Fall 2025				
RATIONALE (relationship to enrollment, quality programs)	Stakeholders need a stable and consistent network to access the Internet and network resource to complete day-to-day operations. Network services are operational on demand of teachers, students, and staff. Therefore, adequate personnel is needed to meet the specialized needs of hardware and software divisions of IT. A technical infrastructure that aligns with the institutional goals and objectives promotes academic success and supports enrollment, as does consideration for backup power and cloud redundancy supports assets. Updating the racks in the data center also allows for room for growth. The data center has space available for the expansion of the network infrastructure.				
ASSESSMENTS & BENCHMARKS (include time frame)	Collect data from helpdesk reports and network monitoring to determine if uptime meets or exceeds the established 95% uptime required. Data collection would occur before, during, and after the implementation of the strategy.				
DOCUMENTATION (deliverables; proof that benchmark achieved)	Network reports indicating uptime, review of device inventories, minimum system configuration checklist, replacement schedules, and invoices				
PERSON(S) RESPONSIBLE FOR IMPLEMENTATION	Director of Information Technology				
ESTIMATED COSTS	Hire of full-time Network Administrator at \$70,000 + 30% benefits = \$91,000 annually; hire of new full-time help desk staff member at \$40,000 + 30% benefits = \$52,000 annually; \$750,00 overall for new hire; upgrade cost TBA				
STATUS	Started Fall 2017				

ACTION STEP 7 (priority H=High, M=Moderate, L=Low)	<b>~</b>	Н	Increase Internet speed if bandwidth needs are exceeded.		
		M			
		L			
TIME FRAME (anticipated start/ estimated completion)	Fall 2019 and ongoing				
RATIONALE (relationship to enrollment, quality programs)	Stakeholders need a stable and consistent network to access the Internet and network resource to complete day-to-day operations. Network services are operational on demand of teachers, students, and staff. Therefore, adequate personnel is needed to meet the specialized needs of hardware and software divisions of IT. A technical infrastructure that aligns with the institutional goals and objectives promotes academic success and supports enrollment, as does consideration for backup power and cloud redundancy supports assets. Updating the racks in the data center also allows for room for growth. The data center has space available for the expansion of the network infrastructure.				
ASSESSMENTS & BENCHMARKS (include time frame)	Collect data from helpdesk reports and network monitoring to determine if uptime meets or exceeds the established 95% uptime required. Data collection would occur before, during, and after the implementation of the strategy.				
DOCUMENTATION (deliverables; proof that benchmark achieved)	Network reports indicating uptime, review of device inventories, minimum system configuration checklist, replacement schedules, and invoices				
PERSON(S) RESPONSIBLE FOR IMPLEMENTATION	Director of Information Technology				
ESTIMATED COSTS	Hire of full-time Network Administrator at \$70,000 + 30% benefits = \$91,000 annually; hire of new full-time help desk staff member at \$40,000 + 30% benefits = \$52,000 annually; \$750,00 overall for new hire; upgrade cost TBA				
STATUS	Started Fall 2017				

ACTION STEP 8 (priority H=High, M=Moderate, L=Low)	<b>✓</b>	Н	Maintain an IT staff of professionals with the expertise and knowledge to fulfill the requirements of all divisions of Information Technology.		
		M			
		L			
TIME FRAME (anticipated start/ estimated completion)	Fall 2020 and ongoing				
RATIONALE (relationship to enrollment, quality programs)	Stakeholders need a stable and consistent network to access the Internet and network resource to complete day-to-day operations. Network services are operational on demand of teachers, students, and staff. Therefore, adequate personnel is needed to meet the specialized needs of hardware and software divisions of IT. A technical infrastructure that aligns with the institutional goals and objectives promotes academic success and supports enrollment, as does consideration for backup power and cloud redundancy supports assets. Updating the racks in the data center also allows for room for growth. The data center has space available for the expansion of the network infrastructure.				
ASSESSMENTS & BENCHMARKS (include time frame)	Collect data from helpdesk reports and network monitoring to determine if uptime meets or exceeds the established 95% uptime required. Data collection would occur before, during, and after the implementation of the strategy.				
DOCUMENTATION (deliverables; proof that benchmark achieved)	Network reports indicating uptime, review of device inventories, minimum system configuration checklist, replacement schedules, and invoices				
PERSON(S) RESPONSIBLE FOR IMPLEMENTATION	Director of Information Technology				
ESTIMATED COSTS	Hire of full-time Network Administrator at \$70,000 + 30% benefits = \$91,000 annually; hire of new full-time help desk staff member at \$40,000 + 30% benefits = \$52,000 annually; \$750,00 overall for new hire; cost of hiring additional personnel, as needed, TBA				
STATUS	Not yet begun.				